

Globalization and the Refugee Crisis

K R BOLTON

The destabilization of the Levant that has resulted in the mass displacement of populations and their converging upon Europe is the result of a long-established strategy to eliminate stable, secular regimes in the region. Syria and Iran are regarded as the primary targets of the pro-Israeli strategists in Washington; yet while regimes have been toppled as part of that strategy, all around them, those two persist. The strategy of destabilizing the Middle East has closely followed the recommendations of the Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies, which claims to be a champion of “western civilization,” whose paragons happen to be Israel and the USA.¹ How Israel, the end product of Levantine civilization that Arnold Toynbee referred to as “fossilized,” in a manner similar to Spengler’s concept of the “fellaheen,” can represent Western civilization is problematic unless one is to believe that the West became so Judaized at some stage in its history that the two have become synonymous. However, that would surely be “anti-Semitic.” Similarly, is the USA, again in Spenglerian terms, anything other than the product of a civilization in its cycle of decay? As a morphological interpretation of history, we might see the “strength” of the USA not as the vigor of a healthy organism, but rather as the carrier of a virus, the lethality of which has been lauded as beneficial to humanity by some neocon ideologues.

Kerry R Bolton is a Fellow of the Academy of Social and Political Research (Athens), and the Institute for Higher Studies on Geopolitics and Auxiliary Sciences (Lisbon). He has doctorates in theological studies, Ph.D. h.c. and certifications in psychology and social work studies. He has been widely published by the scholarly and wider media on a variety of subjects.

Foreign Policy Journal, January 15, 2016

The Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies, which now seems to be defunct, had some odd conspiratorial ideas which linked Islam to “Western Elites,” and referred to their “convergence” in a “World Caliphate”; a conspiratorial outlook beyond crazy, but one which presumably had the endorsement of US foreign policy eminences associated with the Institute such as Richards Perle, David Wurmser, and Douglas Feith.² These individuals co-authored “A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm”,³ a position paper that is remarkably close to the policies that have been enacted by the USA in the region. The report, directed towards the Israeli government, albeit written by ostensible American citizens, focuses on the propaganda benefits of portraying Israel as a paragon of “Western values.”

Target: Syria

What is of relevance to the present refugee debacle and regional imbroglio is the emphasis that the Institute’s study of the gives firstly to Syria and secondly to Iran, as Israel’s primary antagonists that need elimination, and the need to eliminate Saddam Hussein from Iraq. In particular a strategy was suggested for destabilizing Syria by encouraging religious and tribal factionalism:

Most important, it is understandable that Israel has an interest supporting diplomatically, militarily and operationally Turkey’s and Jordan’s actions against Syria, such as securing tribal alliances with Arab tribes that cross into Syrian territory and are hostile to the Syrian ruling elite.

King Hussein may have ideas for Israel in bringing its Lebanon problem under control. The predominantly Shia population of southern Lebanon has been tied for centuries to the Shia leadership in Najf, Iraq rather than Iran. Were the Hashemites to control Iraq, they could use their influence over Najf to help Israel wean the south Lebanese Shia away from Hizballah, Iran, and Syria. Shia retain strong ties to the Hashemites: the Shia venerate foremost the Prophet’s fam-

ily, the direct descendants of which—and in whose veins the blood of the Prophet flows—is King Hussein.⁴

Hence the USA and Israel backed *ihadists* on the pretext of fighting extreme Muslim regimes, which happen to be the very regimes that are least theocratic. The US strategy has been evident since the backing given to the *Mujahedeen* in Afghanistan, against Russia.

Jihaists and Albanian gangsters were then used against Milosevic to detach the vast mineral wealth of Kosovo from Serbia, and as part of the encirclement of Russia. Tribal and *ihadist* factions were backed in Libya to oust Gaddafi.⁵ Both secularized youth and Muslim radicals were used right across North Africa in the so-called “Arab Spring”.⁶ The only two states left to be mopped up by the Zionist-US-*Jihadist* juggernaut are Iran and Syria. The Islamic State arose as if from nowhere, apparently a mystery to the normally pervasive eyes of Mossad and the CIA, rolling across Syria and Iraq in their new Toyota vehicles. Wherever the Islamic State goes, US and Israeli military hardware follow, not to sustain the regimes that are struggling against the Islamic State, and the myriad of other *ihadist* factions, but to support these factions against Syria.⁷

Gaddafi’s Libya: Protector of EuropeAs the best-run state in Africa, Gaddafi’s Libya was long a prime target for destabilization. As with Afghanistan during the Russian presence, the use of Islamists in Chechnya again against Russia, in Serbia, and presently in Syria, Islamists were used by the USA to bring down Gaddafi while the USA/NATO provided the aerial backing.

In 2011, while Libya was being bombed, the Russian patriotic newspaper *Tomorrow*, published by the noted Russian journalist and novelist Alexander Prokhanov, ran a speech by Gaddafi in which he tried to warn the West of the consequences of its actions. Gaddafi stated that this was not a rebellion by Libyans against him but an attack by outside forces: “Libyans never fought each other. What is happening now—this is a war against Libya, not a civil war.” He referred to Al-Qaeda. What Gadaffi said is prophetic; that the USA and its allies were “bombing the wall” that was Libya, which was keeping the *ihadist*-backed wave of migrants from entering Europe:

You bomb the wall, do not miss the flow of African migration to Europe, the wall stops the terrorist “Al Qaeda”. This wall was Libya. You are destroying it. You—idiots. Thousands of migrants from Africa, with the support of “Al-Qa-eda” burn you in hell. And so it is.⁸

Hijrah

The refugee crisis is the historical Islamic strategy of *hijrah*, of moving into a locality to take it for Islam. The first *hijrah* was in 622 by Muhammad, who took his followers from Mecca to Yathrib, which he renamed Medina. The *Qur'an* says of *hijrah*:

And whoever emigrates for the cause of Allah will find on the earth many locations and abundance. And whoever leaves his home as an emigrant to Allah and His Messenger and then death overtakes him, his reward has already become incumbent upon Allah. And Allah is ever Forgiving and Merciful.⁹

A policy document of ISIS, *Libya: The Strategic Gateway for the Islamic State*, called for militants to converge on Europe from Libya, Iraq, and Syria.¹⁰ On the strategic importance of Libya for the invasion of Europe the document states:

Proximity to Europe. Add to that the fact that it has a long coast line and looks upon the southern Crusader states, which can be reached with ease by even a rudimentary boat and note that the number of “illegal immigration” trips from this coast is massive, estimated to be as high as 500 people a day, as a low estimate. According to many of these immigrants, it is easily possible to pass through Maritime Security Checkpoints and arrive in cities. If this was even partially exploited and developed strategically, pandemonium could be wrought in the southern Europe. It is even

possible that there could be a closure of shipping lines because of the targeting of Crusader ships and tankers.¹¹

The report has been widely cited in the mainstream media,¹² and by the neocon- Zionist axis.¹³ It has provided grist for the neocon-Zionist mill

Zionist Factor

At the higher ends of the power spectrum, so far from Zionists and US ruling cabals being alarmed at the invasion of Europe by Muslims of the most radical type, they are welcomed as cannon fodder in the globalization process. It is the Muslim extremists after all whom both Israel and the USA have long been aiding in creating chaos in formerly stable states. One would think that the Zionist leadership of Jewry would be fearful of emigrant Muslim militants as the harbingers of anti-Semitism to Europe. However, as has long been pointed out anti-Semitism is the *raison d'être* of Zionism and the relationship is symbiotic.¹⁴

At a higher dialectical level than the anti-jihadist, hurrah-Zion bloggers and lobbyists, rabbinic-Messianic, Zionist and globalist puppet-masters are prompting the Muslim *hijrah*. They hate Western Christendom more than Islam. This centuries' old hatred was candidly expressed in 2012 by Baruch Efrati, head of a *yeshiva* (religious school) and community rabbi in the West Bank settlement of Efrat. In reply to a very naive question from a student on how Jews could return Europe to Christians, after the election of a Muslim woman as mayor of the Bosnian City, Visoko, Efrati wrote:

Jews should rejoice at the fact that Christian Europe is losing its identity as a punishment for what it did to us for the hundreds of years we were in exile there. We will never forgive Europe's Christians for slaughtering millions of our children, women and elderly... Not just in the recent Holocaust, but throughout the generations, in a consistent manner which characterizes all factions of hypocritical Christianity...¹⁵

Christianity of whatever form is idolatry to Orthodox Judaism. "Even if we are in a major war with the region's Arabs over the Land of Israel, Islam is still much better as a gentile culture than Christianity." "And now, Europe is losing its identity in favor of another people and another religion, and there will be no remnants and survivors from the impurity of Christianity, which shed a lot of blood it won't be able to atone for." "He added, however, that Jews must pray that the Islamization of most of Europe will not harm the people of Israel."¹⁶

Hence, Islamization from this perspective is good for Europe but not good for Israel, just as multiculturalism is good for Europeans but not good for Israel. One therefore sees the same Zionists avidly promoting multiculturalism in a gentile state, while just as avidly condemning any such prospect as a danger for Israel.¹⁷

There are important historical precedents for the Jewish support for the Islamisation of Europe. The recreation of Moorish Spain—*al-Andalus*—a High Culture, remains an aim of the *jihaddists*, with al Qaeda leader Ayman al-Zahawiri saying that *al-Andalus* (Spain) is a "promised land that will one day return to Islamic rule." Jeffrey Gorsky states of the subject that it was from their sojourn in *al-Andalus* that the Jews received a major impetus in culture and science.¹⁸ Although it is not what either White or Jewish Arabophobes want to hear of the culturally rich Muslim interlude in Europe, the great legacy of Islam, neither it is one that can be repeated, any more than the great Gothic era of the West can be repeated.

Under the *al-Andalus*, Jews came to the top in wealth and power. Interestingly, Gorsky states that although the *al-Andalus* civilization endured for 700 years, it did so only through the strength of its rulers, its fragility caused by its diversity. This Islamic High Culture endured despite, not because, of today's heralded dogma of "diversity." The people who gained were the Sephardim, which explains why the most ethnocentric of peoples are so zealous in promoting this "diversity" dogma outside Israel. Their separatist Zionist agenda can only remain undetected amidst a pluralistic, multicultural regime; among people who have no ethnic self-consciousness.

The Zionist support for the modern *hijrah* into Europe is provided by the long-established Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society (HIAS), in the

“forefront” of a “multifaith” coalition that is advocating the acceptance of 200,000 Syrians into the USA. This “Multifaith Alliance” started as a “Jewish response” to the Syrian *hijrah*, according to Rabbi Eric J. Greenberg, the alliance’s director of communications, programs and interfaith relations. According to the New York Jewish newspaper *Forward*, the alliance began in 2013 from an appeal by HIAS, and is comprised of “40 groups of all faiths, but Jewish organizations still play a prominent role in the coalition.”¹⁹

As one would expect the rabbinate is using the Holocaust canard. In France, “At a Holocaust memorial event in Paris, French Chief Rabbi Haim Korsia urged Europe’s leaders to match the actions of non-Jews who saved Jews from the Nazis by welcoming Syrian refugees.”²⁰

Ron van der Wieken, chairman of the Central Jewish Organization of the Netherlands, despite the “negative feelings” by “some Middle Eastern refugees,” “urged Holland to devise a ‘charitable’ refugee policy.” A similar attitude was expressed by Zoltan Radnoti, chairman of the rabbinical board of the Mazsihisz umbrella group of Hungarian Jewish communities. The organization has set up depots to assist the Syrians, et al. The Jewish community in Milan accommodated Middle Eastern and African migrant in the Holocaust Museum. In Brussels, Menachen Margolin, a Chabad rabbi and director of the European Jewish Association, led a delegation of rabbis to deliver goods to the migrants.²¹ Since when has Chabad Judaism been well disposed towards anyone other than Jews? The answer to this question is indicated by Julia Kaldori, editor of *Wina*, a monthly publication of the Jewish Community in Vienna. Kaldori is aware that “statistically, Middle Eastern immigrants are responsible for most of the violence driving French Jews to leave in record numbers—nearly 7,000 in 2014 alone. Kaldori hopes that having been helped by Jews, refugees with anti-Jewish views may reconsider.”²² If not, a resurgence in hostility towards Jews would prompt a new influx of Jewish migrants from Europe to Israel and the USA, while Europe can continue falling apart.

In the USA, the aspect of a PR stunt becomes more obvious with the involvement of the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith (ADL). The ADL has joined with other Jewish organizations to form the Jewish Coalition for Syrian Refugees (JCDR), previously called the Jewish Co-

alition for Syrian Refugees in Jordan.²³ The ADL does not do anything for anyone unless it serves their ethnocentric purposes.

USA Promotes Islamization to Subvert Europe In Germany, which it has been suggested should take 800,000 emigrants from Syria, the German-Jewish *plebs* are fearful that this will not only bring in anti-Semitic Muslims but perhaps more worryingly galvanize latent German nationalism and a rejection of multiculturalism. However, it is not a fear shared by IsraAid, an Israeli welfare organization that has been working with uprooted Syrians and others in the Levant for several years. The German-Jewish organizations want the Muslim emigrants indoctrinated in “German values” (*sic*), which is to say the “values” of post-1945 self-hating neurosis. Certain of the rabbinate and the higher echelons of Zionism, as well as certain US policy-makers believe they can secularize and control uprooted Islamic youth for the purpose of destroying the culture cohesion of the nations of Europe.

In this regard, it could be contended that it is not Islamization that is the ultimate problem for Europe, but, to the contrary, the amalgamation of alienated Muslim youth as part of the great mass of rootless young who feed off Cola, MTV, Hollywood, and the Hip Hop culture that is promoted by the US State Department, similar to the promotion of Jazz and Abstract Expressionism by the CIA during the Cold War.

Neocon strategist Ralph Peters wrote of the traditional cultures, rulers, and religious leaders being no match for the allure of US-driven global consumer culture.²⁴ Likewise the US State Department sees uprooted Islamic youth as the means of destroying the persistent “chauvinism” of such nations as, in particular, France. In 2010 Charles Rivkin, US Ambassador to France, invited a delegation from the Pacific Council on International Policy (PCIP) to France to discuss Arab and Islamic relations in the country, with the purpose of outlining a program to use Muslim youth to destroy the ethnic consciousness of French youth. One of the three “key themes” was to examine the impact of Hollywood on French culture.²⁵ Rivkin developed a “confidential” program, named “Minority Engagement Strategy,” run from his embassy, and exposed by Wikileaks. He stated that the US would “press France” by appealing to her liberal—Jacobin—legacy. This would include a massive propaganda campaign with a focus on the young. This includes changing the

educational curricula to promote the roles of non-French minorities in France's history. A Youth Outreach Program had been established by the embassy to push US "values and objectives," by manipulating "French value," with the aim being to mold "future French leaders." The cadre for this would be the 1,000 American English-language teachers in French schools. Rivkin referred to a major aim being to undermine support for "xenophobic political parties."²⁶

In 2011, the *Globe & Mail* reported that "leadership programs" sponsored by the US Embassy focused on "potential leaders in Muslim groups and other minorities," via the US-sponsored International Visitor Leadership Program. A large proportion of the participants since 2010 have been Muslims.²⁷ Then Secretary of State Hilary Clinton commented that many of the Egyptians in the program had become active in the riots that overthrew the old regime in Egypt, as part of the well-orchestrated "Arab Spring."²⁸ Significantly, Garrett Martin stated that US Embassies across Europe are under instruction to "court second and third generation" Muslims;²⁹ that is to say, those who have been uprooted from their traditions. These de-Islamized youth are to be the fodder of globalism.

Soros Plan: 1,000,000 Migrants Per Year to EU Currency speculator and patron of revolutions George Soros had drafted a plan that includes the European Union taking 1,000,000 migrants from Africa and the Levant annually, "for the foreseeable future," with each given a grant of 15,000 euros. The costs involved can be met by the EU's borrowing due to its AAA credit rating.³⁰ Hence international finance gains in multiple ways. The argument from Soros and others is that migrants provide economic stimulus. Soros in repudiating the plan by Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orbán, states the EU plan should reflect "European values."

Similarly, another arch-plutocrat, as unlikely a spokesman for "European values" as Soros, Peter Sutherland, is in the forefront of open border advocacy for Europe. An "Irish Catholic" with a distinctly Ashkenazic countenance, Sutherland has been Attorney General of Eire, director general of GATT and the World Trade Organization, and is honorary European chairman of the Trilateral Commission, member of the Bilderberg Group steering committee, on the advisory board of the Council on Foreign Relations, and a chairman of Goldman Sachs, a major player in

globalization. Sutherland is also United Nations Special Representative of the Secretary General for International Migration.

Goldman Sachs is the primary corporate contributor in funding the Afro-Levantine demographic shift to Europe.³¹ Sutherland explains the plutocratic-globalist agenda for the use of the Afro-Levantine migrants into Europe as being to break down the national-cultural consciousness of Europe such as it exists:

This will demand, first and foremost, that EU leaders overcome the forces that have so far impeded action. One obstacle is anti-migrant populism, which has intensified owing to the serious economic challenges that Europeans have faced. With far-right political parties nipping at their heels, most mainstream politicians avoid taking a stance on migration that might make them seem “soft”.³²

Behind the bizarre claim to speak for “European Christian values,” Sutherland has also described the real motives. He states that the migrants provide labor and taxes.³³ Further:

The 21st century is built on mobility: capital, goods, and information circulate at low cost and lightning speed. Yet, paradoxically, international migration has become more perilous. It is governed by outmoded notions about human mobility. It is hampered by inadequate policy and legal frameworks. And it is stifled by overriding security concerns.³⁴

“Mobility of capital, goods, and information at low cost and lightning speed” is, in a nutshell, the *raison d’être* of globalization; what the globalists really want of the migrants.

Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban is the only major politician to have a particularly clear insight into the situation. He has accused George Soros of being a “prominent member of a circle of ‘activists’ trying to undermine European nations by supporting refugees heading to

the continent from the Middle East and beyond.” In an interview on public radio “Kossuth,” Orban said of Soros:

His name is perhaps the strongest example of those who support anything that weakens nation states, they support everything that changes the traditional European lifestyle. These activists who support immigrants inadvertently become part of this international human-smuggling network.³⁵

Just as interestingly Soros responded with his own plan by stating that Orban’s “plan treats the protection of national borders as the objective and the refugees as an obstacle. Our plan treats the protection of refugees as the objective and national borders as the obstacle.”³⁶

Russia has recognized the subversive character of this “activist network” and has shut it down.

Is there one vast conspiracy among Zionists, neocons, plutocrats, liberals, and US strategists in this? Perhaps, but not necessarily. As in much else in history, a convergence of aims, even if temporarily, might occur even among ultimate rivals. These factions are all in accord in seeing Afro-Levantine migrants as tools to undermine the stability of nations and cultures in recreating a world in their image, whatever that image might ultimately be. Both Israel and the USA have used *jihadism* for their own purposes.³⁷

Geopolitically, the USA has used *jihadism* against obstacles, starting in Afghanistan to remove the USSR, and in Yugoslavia, Libya, and Syria. Russia is being pilloried because it has been the only state to have resisted *jihadism* while backing Assad, without whom Syria would fall into what US strategists have approvingly called “constant conflict,” which also serves Israel. The USA will continue to push for the expansion of *jihadism* to destabilize the major bugbear, Russia, as the USA already has in Chechnya, some of whose militants have now joined militias in the Ukraine.³⁸

References

1. “Mission statement,” <http://www.iasps.org/index.html>

2. [http://www.rightweb.irc-online.org/profile/Institute for Advanced Strategic and Political Studies](http://www.rightweb.irc-online.org/profile/Institute_for_Advanced_Strategic_and_Political_Studies)
3. Richard Perle, et al, "A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm," <https://web.archive.org/web/20140125123844/http://www.iasps.org/strat1.htm>
4. Ibid., "Moving to a Traditional Balance of Power Strategy."
5. Bolton, "America's Jihad," *Foreign Policy Journal*, 17 October 2014, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2014/10/17/americas-jihad/>.
6. Bolton, "The ISIS strategy," *Foreign Policy Journal*, 14 November 12014, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2014/11/14/the-isis-strategy/>. Bolton, "Tunisian Revolt: Another Soros/NED Jack-Up," *Foreign Policy Journal*, 18 January 2011, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2011/01/18/tunisian-revolt-another-sorosned-jack-up/>. Bolton, "Post-Qaddafi Libya: on the Globalist Road," *Foreign Policy Journal*, 26 February 2011, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2011/02/26/post-qaddafi-libya-on-the-globalist-road/>
7. Bolton, *ibid.*
8. Gaddafi, "We will protect the freedom," *Zavtra*, March 11, 2011, <http://zavtra.ru/content/view/2011-05-2441/>
9. <http://corpus.quran.com/translation.jsp?chapter=4&verse=100>
10. Abu Arhim al-Libim, *Libya: The Strategic Gateway for the Islamic State*, <http://www.quilliamfoundation.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/publications/free/libya-the-strategic-gateway-for-the-is.pdf>
11. Ibid., 10.
12. Ruth Sherlock, Colin Freeman, "Islamic State planning to use Libya as gateway to Europe," *Daily Telegraph*, 17 February 2015, <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/islamic-state/11418966/Is-lamic-State-planning-to-use-Libya-as-gateway-to-Europe.html>
13. Robert Spencer, "Flashback to February 2015: Islamic State threatens to flood Europe with refugees," *Jihad Watch*, <http://www.jihadwatch.org/2015/09/flashback-to-february-2015-islamic-state-threatens-to-flood-europe-with-refugees>
14. Bolton, "The Symbiosis Between Anti-Semitism & Zionism," *Foreign Policy Journal*, 1 November 2010, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2010/11/01/the-symbiosis-between-anti-semitism-zionism/>

15. Dr. Ruchama Weiss and Rabbi Levi Brackman quoting Rabbi Efrati, "Islamization of Europe a good thing," *Jewish World*, <http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4299673,00.html>
16. Ibid.
17. "Staunch promoter of multiculturalism for Australia, says multiculturalism is bad for Israel," <http://conservative-headlines.com/2013/05/staunch-promoter-of-multiculturalism-for-australia-says-multiculturalism-is-bad-for-israel/>
18. J Gorsky, *Exiles in Sepharad: The Jewish Millennium in Spain*, "Muslim rule: al-Andalus" (University of Nebraska for the Jewish Publications Society, 1986).
19. Ann Corcoran, "Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society says we may have to admit 200,000 Syrian refugees," *Refugee Resettlement Watch*, 26 June 2015, <https://refugeeresettlementwatch.wordpress.com/2015/06/26/hebrew-immigrant-aid-society-says-we-may-have-to-admit-200000-syrian-refugees/>
20. Cnaan Lipshiz, "Mindful of risks, European Jews urge aid to Syrian refugees," *The Times of Israel*, 10 September 2015; <http://www.timesofisrael.com/mindful-of-risks-european-jews-urge-aid-to-refugees/>
21. Ibid.
22. Ibid.
23. "Jewish Coalition for Syrian Refugees," Anti-Defamation League <http://www.adl.org/israel-international/israel-middle-east/content/backgroundersarticles/syrian-refugees.html>
24. Ralph Peters, "Constant Conflict," *Parameters*, Summer 1997, pp. 4-14; US Army War College; <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article3011.htm>
25. Bolton, *Babel Inc.* (London: Black House Publishing, 2013), 179. Also: Bolton, 'The Rivkin Project: How Globalism Uses Multiculturalism to Subvert Sovereign Nations', *Foreign Policy Journal*, 12 March 2011, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2011/03/12/the-rivkin-project-how-globalism-uses-multiculturalism-to-subvert-sovereign-nations/>
26. Bolton, *ibid.*, 183-189.
27. Anita Elash, "US accused of meddling in France's immigration policies," *Globe & Mail*, 17 February 2011. See Bolton, *Babel Inc.*, 192.

28. Garret Martin, "In smart-power shift, US now actively cultivating Muslim minorities in the EU," *European Affairs*, April 2011; Bolton, *Babel Inc.*, 203.
29. Garrett Martin, *ibid.*, Bolton, *ibid.*, 207.
30. G Soros, "Rebuilding the Asylum System," 26 September 2015; <http://www.georgesoros.com/essays/rebuilding-the-asylum-system/>
31. "Google, Goldman Sachs donate millions to help refugees," <http://money.cnn.com/2015/09/11/news/refugee-crisis-corporate-donations/>
32. Sutherland, "Dying for Europe," 14 July 2015, <http://petersutherland.co.uk/article/european-union-articles/dying-for-europe/>
33. Sutherland, "Lower the costs and amplifying the benefits of migration," 4 July 2014, <http://petersutherland.co.uk/article/world-trade-articles/lowering-the-costs-amplifying-the-benefits-of-migration/>
34. *Ibid.*
35. Andras Gergely, "Orban Accuses Soros of Stoking Refugee Wave to Weaken Europe," 30 October 2015; <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-10-30/orban-accuses-soros-of-stoking-refugee-wave-to-weaken-europe>
36. *Ibid.*
37. Bolton, "America's Jihad," *Foreign Policy Journal*, 17 October 2014, <http://www.foreignpolicyjournal.com/2014/10/17/americas-jihad/>
38. Anna Nemtsova, "Chechen jihadis leave Syria to fight for Ukraine against Russia," *Fort Russ*, 18 November 2015; <http://fortruss.blogspot.de/2015/11/chechen-jihadis-leave-syria-to-fight.html>